1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CECIL JEROME HATCHETT, Case No. 2:20-cv-00892-KJM-JDP (HC) 12 Petitioner. ORDER REOUIRING RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS 13 CORPUS AND SETTING BRIEFING v. **SCHEDULE** 14 KEN CLARK, ECF No. 31 15 Respondent. 16 17 With agreement from both parties, I recommended that the last operative petition be 18 dismissed as unintelligible and for failure to use a court-approved habeas form. ECF No. 28. 19 Petitioner has now filed a third amended petition that, under Rule 4, states a cognizable claim that 20 a Yolo County detective violated his due process rights by illegally detaining him and forcing him 21 into a confession. ECF No. 31 at 3. 22 Accordingly, it is ORDERED that: 23 1. Within sixty days of the date of service of this order, respondent must file a response to the petition that addresses petitioner's due process claim. 24 2. A response may be one of the following: 25 A. An answer addressing the merits of the petition. Any argument by 26 27 respondent that petitioner has procedurally defaulted a claim must be raised 28 in the answer, which must also address the merits of petitioner's claims.